

Dušan Pokorný, Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Prague 8, Czech Republic.
email: dpokorny@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

ON SECTION SETS OF NEIGHBORHOODS OF GRAPHS OF SEMICONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Abstract

We prove that for any lower semicontinuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with purely unrectifiable graph and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an open set $U \supset \text{graph } f$ with every vertical section set of one-dimensional Lebesgue measure at most ε .

1 Motivation and definitions

Two basic notions in geometric measure theory are those of purely and uniformly purely unrectifiable sets. A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is purely unrectifiable if for every Lipschitz curve γ we have $\mathcal{H}^1(\text{graph } \gamma \cap A) = 0$ and A is uniformly purely unrectifiable if for every $K \geq 0$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there an open set U with $A \subset U$ and such that for every K -Lipschitz function g in any rotated cartesian coordinates we have $\mathcal{H}^1(\text{graph } g \cap U) \leq \varepsilon$. Clearly, all uniformly purely unrectifiable sets are purely unrectifiable and it is not difficult to observe that for F_σ sets these notions coincide. It is not known whether they coincide also for G_δ sets or even Borel sets (this problem was stated by Alberti, Csörnyei and Preiss, see [1], remark after Theorem 21.).

In this paper we deal with a similar but much weaker property. Our G_δ set A will be a purely unrectifiable graph of a (lower) semicontinuous function and we will look only for the existence of an open superset of its graph with small measure of its intersections with all vertical lines. Recall that $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is lower semicontinuous when for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ the set $f^{-1}([0, \alpha])$ is compact. The main result is the following:

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 26A15, 28A75
Key words: purely unrectifiable set, semicontinuous function
Received by the editors September 29, 2010
Communicated by: Brian S. Thomson

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a lower semicontinuous function with purely unrectifiable graph. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an open set $U \supset \text{graph } f$ with every vertical section set of one-dimensional Lebesgue measure at most ε .*

Theorem 1.1 follows directly from Proposition 2.3. Before we proceed with the proof it will be useful to make some remarks.

1) There exists a lower semicontinuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with purely unrectifiable graph. To obtain such a function it is sufficient to consider κ the usual von Koch curve (which is known to be purely unrectifiable) built above the interval $[0, 1]$ on the x -axis and put $f(x) = \min\{y : (x, y) \in \text{graph } \kappa\}$. Note that the result in [2] shows that the function f is not continuous.

2) There exists a lower semicontinuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that every open set $U \supset \text{graph } f$ contains the whole interval $[0, 1]$ in some of its vertical section sets.

One way to construct such a function is to find some compact set $K \subset [0, 1]^3$ such that for every compact set $L \subset [0, 1]^3$ there is some $x \in [0, 1]$ with

$$L = K_x = \{(y, z) \in [0, 1]^2 : (x, y, z) \in K\}$$

and put

$$f(x) = \min(\{1\} \cup \{y \in [0, 1] : (x, y, x) \in K\}).$$

It is enough to prove that the graph of f intersects every compact set $L \subset [0, 1]^2$. Choose such a set L and find $x \in [0, 1]$ from the definition of K . Now, we have $(x, f(x)) \in K_x = L$.

Another way is to consider any lower semicontinuous function f that is Darboux, $f(0) = 0$ and is $f = 1$ on rational numbers in $(0, 1]$. (Sketch of the proof.) Again, it is enough to prove that the graph of f intersects every compact set $L \subset [0, 1]^2$. Divide $[0, 1]$ in two intervals of length $\frac{1}{2}$. In at least one of these intervals there is an x such that $f(x)$ is not greater than $\max\{u : (x, u) \in L\}$ (0 is always such point). Choose the interval with this property which is most to the right. Now, do the same procedure with four intervals of length $\frac{1}{4}$, eight intervals of length $\frac{1}{8}$ and so on. The chosen intervals form a monotone sequence with one point z in its intersection. It is not difficult to observe that $(z, f(z)) \in L$.

Note that in the second case it is simple to observe that f could not have purely unrectifiable graph, since $\phi : y \rightarrow \max(f^{-1}([0, y]))$ is strictly monotone function from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, 1]$ whose graph (in the y -coordinate) lies on the graph of f . We use the fact that graph of any monotone function lies on some Lipschitz curve and also that $1 = \mathcal{H}^1([0, 1]) = \mathcal{H}^1(P_y(\text{graph } f)) \leq \mathcal{H}^1(\text{graph } f)$, where P_y is orthogonal projection to the y -axis.

We will need the following notation:

We will use $B(z, r)$ for the open ball in \mathbb{R}^2 with center z and radius r and I will be used for the unit interval $[0, 1]$. For a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ we will use $|A|$ for (one-dimensional) Lebesgue measure of A .

For $t \in \{0, 1\}^{<\omega}$ we will denote $|t|$ the length of t , and \prec will be used for classical lexicographic ordering (the same symbol will be used for lexicographic ordering on $\{0, 1\}^\omega$).

For $t \in \{0, 1\}^{<\omega}$ or $t \in \{0, 1\}^\omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote $t(n)$ the n -th coordinate of t and define $t|n \in \{0, 1\}^n$ as $t|n(i) = t(i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

For $t, u \in \{0, 1\}^{<\omega}$ define $t^*u \in \{0, 1\}^{|t|+|u|}$ as $t^*u(i) = t(i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, |t|$ and $t^*u(|t| + i) = u(i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, |u|$.

We will write $u \triangleleft t$ if there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u = t|n$.

For $t \in \{0, 1\}^{<\omega}$ we will use I_t for the dyadic interval

$$I_t = [a_t, b_t] = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{|t|} t(i)2^{-i}, 2^{-|t|} + \sum_{i=1}^{|t|} t(i)2^{-i} \right].$$

We will use P_x or P_y for the orthogonal projection to the x or y -axis.

For $A \subset I^2$ and $w \in I$ put $A^w = \{z \in I : (w, z) \in A\}$. For $B \subset I$ denote B° the interior relative to I of B . We will use $\mathcal{K}(I^2)$ for the system of all compact subsets of I^2 .

2 Proof of the theorem

Throughout the whole section fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and a lower semicontinuous function $f : I \rightarrow I$ with the property that there is no open, relatively in I^2 , set U with graph $f \subset U \subset I^2$ with $|U^z| < \varepsilon$ for any $z \in I$. Put $\alpha = 1 - \varepsilon$.

Since f is lower semicontinuous, we can find for every $z \in I$ and $\delta > 0$ some $\beta(z, \delta) > 0$ with $\min_{v \in [z - \beta(z, \delta), z + \beta(z, \delta)]} f(v) \geq f(z) - \delta$. Fix some such $\beta(z, \delta)$ for every such z and δ .

For $z \in I$ and $J \subseteq I$ interval define $\mathcal{K}(s, z, J) \subset \mathcal{K}(I^2)$ as a system of all $K \in \mathcal{K}(I^2)$ with $P_y K \subseteq J$, $z \in P_x K^\circ$ and for all $w \in P_x K$ we have $|K^w| \geq s$ and $K \cap \text{graph } f = \emptyset$. Then define

$$s(x, J) = \sup\{s : \mathcal{K}(s, z, J) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *1. there is $z \in I$ with $s(z, I) \leq \alpha$.*

2. if $\rho, \delta > 0$ and $s(z, J) < |J| - \delta$ then there is a $z_{\rho, \delta} \in I$ with $0 < |z - z_{\rho, \delta}| \leq \rho$, $s(z_{\rho, \delta}, J) < s(z, J) + \delta$ and $f(z_{\rho, \delta}) \in J$.

3. if $J_i = [a_i, b_i]$, $i = 1, 2$ are two intervals with $b_1 = a_2$ then for $J = J_1 \cup J_2$ we have $s(z, J_1) + s(z, J_2) \leq s(z, J)$.

PROOF. 1. Suppose for contradiction that for every $z \in I$ there is $s(z, I) > \alpha$. This means that for any $z \in I$ there is a compact set $K_z \in \mathcal{K}(s_z, z, I)$ with $s_z > \alpha$. Since I is a compact set there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and z_1, \dots, z_k such that $I \subset \cup_{i=1}^k P_x K_{z_i}^\circ$. But then $U = I^2 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k K_{z_i}$ is an open (relatively to I^2) superset of graph f with $|U^w| \leq 1 - \min_i s_{z_i} < \varepsilon$ for every $w \in I$, which is a contradiction with the definition of f .

2. Let $J = [a, b]$. Suppose that for some $\delta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ there is no such $z_{\rho, \delta}$. This means that for any $w \in I$ with $0 < |w - z| \leq \rho$ and $f(w) \in J$ we have $s(w, J) \geq s(z, J) + \delta$.

Now, since f is lower semicontinuous, the set $f^{-1}([0, a])$ is compact. Which means that the set $V = [z - \rho, z + \rho] \setminus f^{-1}([0, a])$ is open relatively in $[z - \rho, z + \rho]$, in particular, can be written in the form $V = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ for K_n compact and $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now observe that $s(w, J) \geq s(z, J) + \delta$ for any $w \in V$. We assumed this for w with $f(w) \in J$ and for w with $f(w) > b$ we can find $\kappa > 0$ with $f(w) - \kappa > b$ and then $[w - \beta(w, \kappa), w + \beta(w, \kappa)] \times J \in \mathcal{K}(s(z, J) + \delta, w, J)$.

As in the previous case find $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_1^n, \dots, s_{k_n}^n \geq s(z, J) + 3\delta/4$, $z_1^n, \dots, z_{k_n}^n \in K_n$ and $K_{z_i^n} \in \mathcal{K}(s_i^n, z_i^n, J)$ with $K_n \subset \cup_{i=1}^{k_n} P_x K_{z_i^n}^\circ$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put

$$\tilde{L}_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_n} K_{z_i^n}, \quad \tilde{K}_n = K_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} K_i^\circ \quad \text{and} \quad L_n = \{(u_1, u_2) \in \tilde{L}_n : u_1 \in \tilde{K}_n\}$$

and define

$$K = \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_n} \setminus ((I \times [a, a + \delta/4]) \cup B((z, f(z)), \delta/8)).$$

It is easy to verify that $K \in \mathcal{K}(s(z, J) + \delta/4, z, J)$ which contradicts the definition of $s(z, J)$.

3. For every sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ find $K_\delta^i \in \mathcal{K}(s(z, J_i) - \delta, z, J_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Put $K_\delta = (K_\delta^1 \cup K_\delta^2) \cap ((P_x K_\delta^1 \cap P_x K_\delta^2) \times I)$. Then $K_\delta \in \mathcal{K}(s(z, J) - 2\delta, z, J)$ and it is sufficient to let $\delta \rightarrow 0$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *For every $t \in \{0, 1\}^{<\omega}$ there is a point $z_t \in I$ such that:*

1. *if $s(z_t, I_t) < |I_t|$ then $f(z_t) \in I_t$.*
2. $\sum_{|t|=n} s(z_t, I_t) \leq \alpha + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-(k+1)}$
3. *if $|t| = |t'|$ then $t \prec t'$ if and only if $z_t < z_{t'}$.*
4. $|z_{t(|t|-1)} - z_t| \leq 1/5 \min_{|t''|=|t'|=|t|-1, t'' \neq t'} |z_{t'} - z_{t''}|$.

5. if $t' \triangleleft t$ then $z_t \in (z_{t'} - \beta(z_{t'}, 2^{-|t|}), z_{t'} + \beta(z_{t'}, 2^{-|t|}))$

PROOF. We will proceed by induction by $|t|$. For $|t| = 0$. By property 1 in Lemma 2.1 there exists a point z with $s(z, I) \leq \alpha$. Put $z_\emptyset = z$. The fulfillment of all properties 1–5 is trivial.

Induction step: Suppose that we have z_t constructed for every $|t| \leq n - 1$. We have $\{0, 1\}^{n-1} = T_1 \cup T_2$, where

$$T_1 = \{t \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1} : s(z_t, I_t) < |I_t| - \varepsilon 2^{-2n}\}$$

and

$$T_2 = \{t \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1} : s(z_t, I_t) \geq |I_t| - \varepsilon 2^{-2n}\}.$$

Fix some $t \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$, we will construct $t^*\{0\}$ and $t^*\{1\}$ by the following procedure:

Case 1. $t \in T_1$.

Put $d = 1/5 \min_{|t''|=|t|=n-1, t'' \neq t} |z_{t'} - z_{t''}|$. Using property 2 in Lemma 2.1 countable many times for $z = z_t$, $\delta = \varepsilon 2^{-(2n+1)}$ and $\rho = \rho_j$ for a suitable sequence $\rho_j \rightarrow 0$ there is a sequence $w_i \rightarrow z_t$ in I satisfying $|w_i - z_t| \leq d$, $s(w_i, I_t) < s(z_t, I_t) + \varepsilon 2^{-(2n+1)}$, $f(w_i) \in I_t$ and $w_i \in (z_t - \beta(z_t, 2^{-|t|}), z_t + \beta(z_t, 2^{-|t|}))$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $0 \leq s(w_i, I_{t^*\{0\}}) \leq 2^{-n}$ there is a subsequence $\{w_{i_l}\}_{l=1}^\infty$ and $s \in [0, 2^{-n}]$ such that $s(w_{i_l}, I_{t^*\{0\}}) \rightarrow s$. So we can choose l_0 and l_1 with $|s(w_{i_{l_0}}, I_{t^*\{0\}}) - s(w_{i_{l_1}}, I_{t^*\{0\}})| \leq \varepsilon 2^{-(2n+1)}$ and $w_{i_{l_0}} < w_{i_{l_1}}$. Put $z_{t^*\{0\}} = w_{i_{l_0}}$ and $z_{t^*\{1\}} = w_{i_{l_1}}$. By property 3 in Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(z_{t^*\{0\}}, I_{t^*\{0\}}) + s(z_{t^*\{1\}}, I_{t^*\{1\}}) &= s(w_{i_{l_0}}, I_{t^*\{0\}}) + s(w_{i_{l_1}}, I_{t^*\{1\}}) \\ &\leq s(w_{i_{l_0}}, I_{t^*\{0\}}) + s(w_{i_{l_1}}, I_t) - s(w_{i_{l_1}}, I_{t^*\{0\}}) \\ &\leq s(z_t, I_t) + \varepsilon 2^{-(2n+1)} + \varepsilon 2^{-(2n+1)} \\ &= s(z_t, I_t) + \varepsilon 2^{-(2n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $t \in T_2$.

Choose $z_{t^*\{0\}} < z_{t^*\{1\}}$ as arbitrary two points of continuity sufficiently close to z_t to satisfy conditions 4 and 5.

Property 1 in case 1 follows directly from the construction and in case 2 it is sufficient to observe that if w is a point of continuity of f , then $s(w, J) = |J|$

for every J . Properties 3–5 are clear. To verify the validity of property 2 write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|t|=n} s(z_t, I_t) &= \sum_{t \in T_1} s(z_{t^* \{0\}}, I_{t^* \{0\}}) + s(z_{t^* \{1\}}, I_{t^* \{1\}}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{t \in T_2} s(z_{t^* \{0\}}, I_{t^* \{0\}}) + s(z_{t^* \{1\}}, I_{t^* \{1\}}) \\ &\leq \sum_{t \in T_1} s(z_t, I_t) + \sum_{t \in T_1} \varepsilon 2^{-(2n+1)} + \sum_{t \in T_2} (|I_t| - s(z_t, I_t)) \\ &\leq \sum_{|t|=n-1} s(z_t, I_t) + 2^n \varepsilon 2^{-(2n+1)} \leq \alpha + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-(k+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.3. *The graph of the function f is not a purely unrectifiable set.*

PROOF. Let $z_t, t \in \{0, 1\}^{<\omega}$ be points from Lemma 2.2. For $u \in \{0, 1\}^\omega$ denote $z_u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{u|n}$. This limit exists due to property 4 and by the same property together with property 3 we have $z_u < z_{u'}$ whenever $u \prec u'$. Denote h_u as the only number that lies in $\cap_n I_{u|n}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put

$$T^n = \{t \in \{0, 1\}^n : s(z_t, I_t) < |I_t|\} \quad \text{and} \quad H_n = \bigcup_{t \in T^n} I_t$$

and define

$$U = \{u \in \{0, 1\}^\omega : I_{u|n} \in T^n \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$C = \{z_u : u \in U\}$ and $H = \{h_u : u \in U\}$. Note that $H_{n+1} \subset H_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $H = \cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n$. So, since by property 2 we have $|H_n| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|H| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |H_n| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Moreover, since

$$h_u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{u|(n)} - 2^{-n} \leq f(z_u) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z_{u|(n)}) = h_u,$$

where the first inequality is by property 5 and the second one by lower semi-continuity of f together with property 1 we obtain $f(z_u) = h_u$ for every $u \in U$. Due to this fact and property 4 we obtain that f is monotone on C .

Now, since

$$|H| = |f(C)| = \mathcal{H}^1(P_y \text{ graph } f|C) \leq \mathcal{H}^1(\text{graph } f)$$

and since graph of every monotone function lies on the graph of a Lipschitz curve, we are done.

□

Acknowledgement I would like to thank Professor Marianna Csörnyei for introducing me to the topic and many helpful discussions, Professor David Preiss for comments on the final proof and an unknown referee for very careful reading and valuable remarks and suggestions. I also would like to thank University College London and Warwick University, where the result was proved, for their hospitality.

References

- [1] G. Alberti, M. Csörnyei, and D. Preiss, Structure of null sets in the plane and applications, European Congress of Mathematics, 3–22, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2005.
- [2] T. C. O’Neil, Graphs of continuous functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} are not purely unrectifiable, *Real Anal. Exchange*, **26** (2000), 445–448.

