

te

THE WEIGHTED SPECTRUM OF THE UNIVERSAL COVER AND AN ALON-BOPPANA RESULT FOR THE NORMALIZED LAPLACIAN

STEPHEN J. YOUNG

ABSTRACT. We provide a lower bound for the spectral radius of the universal cover of irregular graphs in the presence of symmetric edge weights. We use this bound to derive an Alon-Boppana type bound for the second eigenvalue of the normalized Laplacian.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple, connected, n vertex graph and let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. Letting $\lambda(G) = \lambda_2$ one of the versions of the famous Alon-Boppana theorem states that

Alon-Boppana Theorem ([12]). *For any sequence of d -regular graphs G_i with increasing diameter, we have that $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(G_i) \geq 2\sqrt{d-1}$. Furthermore, for any particular d -regular graph with two edges of distance at least $2k+2$, $\lambda(G) \geq 2\sqrt{d-1} - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}-1}{k+1}$.*

Since the spectrum of the adjacency matrix of a regular graph is closely related to the expansion properties of the graph (see [1], for example), the Alon-Boppana result may be thought of as upper bound on how good of an expander a d -regular graph can be. Recently, Friedman has confirmed a conjecture of Alon and shown that with high probability a d -regular random graph has $\lambda(G) \leq 2\sqrt{d-1}$, and thus may be thought of as extremal with respect to $\lambda(\cdot)$ [4, 5].

Given the wide ranging practical and theoretical applications of expanders (see [8]), it is natural to consider what the analogue of the Alon-Boppana theorem would be for irregular graphs. To that end, we say a graph has r -robust average degree d if for every vertex v , $G[V \setminus B_r(v)]$ has average degree at least d , where $G[S]$ is the graph induced by S and $B_r(v)$ consists of all vertices at distance most r from v . Now, with this definition Hoory generalizes the Alon-Boppana results as follows.

Theorem 1 ([7]). *Let G_i be a sequence of graphs such that G_i has r_i -robust average degree $d \geq 2$. If $r_i \rightarrow \infty$, then $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(G_i) \geq 2\sqrt{d-1}$.*

At this point is also worth mentioning Mohar's recent work on a multipartite generalization of the Alon-Boppana theorem [10] which provides a clean description of the spectrum of the t -partite regular graph in terms of the spectrum of a $t \times t$ matrix [10]. By introducing the concept of a sub-universal cover Mohar is able to lift this result to general results about graphs that are not necessarily multipartite, for instance:

Theorem 2 ([10]). *Let $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d$ be positive integers, and let \mathcal{G}_{d_1, d_2}^d be the set of all graphs whose maximum vertex degree is at most d and whose vertex set is the union of (not necessarily disjoint) subsets U_1, U_2 , such that every vertex in U_i has at least d_i neighbors in U_{3-i} for $i = 1, 2$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, every n -vertex graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{d_1, d_2}^d$ has $\Omega_\epsilon(n)$ eigenvalues larger than $\sqrt{d_1-1} + \sqrt{d_2-1} - \epsilon$.*

We note that the results of Mohar are stronger than Theorem ??, in that they imply a family of bounds along the same lines = that are significantly harder state compactly.

It is relatively easy to find examples where the bound given by Mohar is an improvement on the bound given by Hoory, for example, consider the graphs G on $4n$ vertices where A, A', B , are n vertex independent sets and C is a n vertex 8-regular graph. The vertices in B are connected to A, A' , and C by $(10, 10)$, $(3, 3)$, and $(2, 2)$ -regular bipartite graphs, and A' and C are connected by a $(7, 7)$ -regular graph. These graphs have a average degree 11, and so asymptotically Theorem ?? gives an asymptotic lower-bound of $2\sqrt{10}$. Letting $U_1 = A \cup A' \cup C$ and $U_2 = B \cup C$, gives two sets where every vertex in U_1 has degree 10 into U_2 and every vertex in U_2 has degree 15 into U_1 . Thus Theorem ?? gives a bound of $\sqrt{9} + \sqrt{14} > 2\sqrt{10}$. We note that this improvement comes at a cost as there is immediately obvious way to quickly verify that the conditions

of Theorem ??, whereas it is clear that in a class of graphs of bounded degree (or even not too fast growing degree) the conditions of Theorem ?? are satisfied asymptotically.

We note that by passing to the irregular case the tight relationship with the expansion of the graph is lost, and so neither Hoory's nor Mohar's generalizations may not be thought of as a bound on the expansion properties of a family of graphs with specified properties. In order to maintain the connection between expansion and the spectrum of an irregular graph, we consider instead the normalized Laplacian $\mathcal{L} = I - D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$ where D is the diagonal matrix of degrees. If the graph is a regular graph, then the spectrum of the normalized Laplacian is just a linear transformation of the spectrum of the adjacency matrix, however if the graph is irregular behavior of the two spectra can differ significantly. We make the standard observations that all of the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} are in $[0, 2]$ and that there is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 0, namely $\sqrt{\frac{\deg(v_i)}{\text{Vol}(G)}}$, where $\text{Vol}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(v_i)$. Thus for a given graph G define $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ as the second smallest eigenvalue of the normalized Laplacian. It is well known that $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ is tightly connected with expansion and algorithmic properties of G (see [3] for an overview of such results).

In the context of the normalized Laplacian, the Alon-Boppana theorem says that for a d -regular graph G , $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G) \leq 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{d-1}{d}} + o(1)$ and thus natural conjectured generalization of the Alon-Boppana result to the normalized Laplacian of irregular graphs would be that $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G) \leq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d} + o(1)$ where d is the average degree of G . However, as we will show in Section 3 there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and an infinite family of graphs \mathcal{G} with common average degree d , such that $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G) - \left(1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d}\right) > \epsilon$ for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus, our main result is that if G_i is a sequence of graphs with average degree at least 2 and increasing "robustness" with respect to the average degree d , then there is a constant δ , dependent on the degree sequence, such that $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G_i) \leq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{\delta} + o(1)$. We note that if the G_i 's are regular then this bound will agree exactly with the Alon-Boppana result, however for irregular graphs this yields a higher upper bound than the natural conjecture.

2. ALON-BOPPANNA FOR THE NORMALIZED LAPLACIAN

Rather than attack the normalized Laplacian directly, we adapt the work of Hoory [7] and provide a lower bound for the spectral radius of the universal cover graph with appropriate weights. Specifically, let G be a graph with a weight function $w: E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and let $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$ be the universal cover of G . Noting that the weight function w lifts in a natural way to weights on the edges of \tilde{G} , for any $v \in \tilde{V}$ we define $t_{2k}^{(w)}(v)$ as the total weight of all closed walks of length $2k$ from s to itself in \tilde{G} . By well known results (see [11]) the weighted spectral radius of \tilde{G} is $\rho_w(\tilde{G}) = \limsup \sqrt[2k]{t_{2k}^{(w)}(v)}$ for any $v \in \tilde{V}$.

Theorem 3. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be any graph with minimum degree 2 and let $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Define a weight function $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by $w(u, v) = f(u)f(v)$, then the weighted spectral radius of the universal cover is at least $2 \prod_{v \in V} \left(f(v)^2 \sqrt{(\deg(v) - 1)} \right)^{\frac{\deg(v)}{\text{Vol}(G)}}$.*

Proof. We first consider the nature of the closed walks of length $2k$ starting from $v \in V(\tilde{G})$. Since the universal cover is a tree, we may view each of the steps in the walk as either a forward step or a backtracking step, depending on whether the distance to v is increasing or decreasing. Accordingly, let T_{2k} be the set of all possible forward and backtracking sequences of a closed walk of length $2k$ and for $\tau \in T_{2k}$ let $t_{\tau, 2k}^{(w)}(v)$ be the total weight of all walks of length $2k$ from v which respect the sequence τ . Notice that for any τ , there are never more backtracking steps than forward steps, and further, since the walk is closed the total number of forward steps is k . Thus T_{2k} corresponds to the set of Dyck paths of length $2k$ and hence the number of choices for τ is the k^{th} Catalan number, $C_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k}$.

In order to analyze these walks, it will be helpful to consider a slightly smaller class of walks where a fixed vertex is forbidden as the first step in the walk. That is, for some $v' \sim v$ we consider the set $\Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}$, which consists of all closed walks of length $2k$, whose first step is not v' and which respect the sequence τ . The advantage of considering these walks is that at every vertex u in the walk there are $\deg(u) - 1$ choices for a forward step in the walk. Additionally, these walks can be described by the action of a stack. Specifically, if the walk starts at a vertex v then some v' adjacent to v starts on the top of the stack. On a forward

step from the vertex u with $u' \sim u$ on the top of the stack, a neighbor of u other than u' is chosen and u is pushed onto the stack. On a backwards step in the walk, the walk moves to the top element of the stack and that element is popped off the stack. As the forward and backwards moves are governed by τ , which can be thought of as a Dyck path, the stack is always non-empty by the non-negative property of Dyck paths.

For any vertex $v' \sim v$, it is clear that $t_{\tau, 2k}^{(w)}(v) \geq w(\Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k})$, where $w(S)$ is the sum of the weights of walks in S . In fact $t_{\tau, 2k}^{(w)}(v) \geq \sum_{v' \sim v} \frac{1}{\deg(v)} w(\Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k})$. We observe that for any τ there is a natural bijection (which preserves weights) from walks on \tilde{G} starting from v respecting τ and non-backtracking walks on G starting from the image of v which also respect τ . Thus, define $S_{2k}^{(w)}$ as the weight of a random stack based walk with initial vertex v chosen proportionally to the degrees and with the top element of the stack v' chosen uniformly at random from the neighbors of v . Thus we have $\rho_w(\tilde{G}) = \limsup \sqrt[2k]{t_{2k}^{(w)}(v)} \geq \limsup \sqrt[2k]{\mathbb{E}[S_{2k}^{(w)}]}$. Now

$$\mathbb{E}[S_{2k}^{(w)}] = \sum_{v \in V} \frac{\deg(v)}{\text{Vol}(G)} \sum_{v' \sim v} \frac{1}{\deg(v)} \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} w(\Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(G)} \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{v' \sim v} \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} w(\Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}).$$

In order to bound $\mathbb{E}[S_{2k}^{(w)}]$ below, we consider the weight of a fixed walk $\omega \in \Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}$ as well as the probability of choosing the walk ω randomly. To that end let $(v_1, u_1), \dots, (v_k, u_k)$ be the forward edges of the walk ω . Now define $p(\omega) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\deg(v_i) - 1}$, which is the probability of choosing the walk ω at random, given that the vertex v' is not the first step. Since each forward edge is traversed in the backtracking direction as well, the weight of the walk is $w(\omega) = \prod_{i=1}^k (f(v_i)f(u_i))^2$. Thus we may rewrite

$$\mathbb{E}[S_{2k}^{(w)}] = \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{v' \sim v} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}} \frac{p(\omega)}{\text{Vol}(G)} \frac{w(\omega)}{p(\omega)}$$

and observe that $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}} p(\omega) = 1$ and thus $\sum_{v \in V} \sum_{v' \sim v} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}} \frac{p(\omega)}{\text{Vol}(G)} = 1$ and hence, by the (weighted) arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$\mathbb{E}[S_{2k}^{(w)}] \geq \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} \prod_{v \in V(G)} \prod_{v' \sim v} \prod_{\omega \in \Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}} \left(\frac{w(\omega)}{p(\omega)} \right)^{\frac{p(\omega)}{\text{Vol}(G)}}.$$

Since $\frac{w(\omega)}{p(\omega)} = \prod_{i=1}^k (\deg(v_i) - 1) f(v_i)^2 f(u_i)^2$, it suffices to understand, for any ordered edge (v, v') , the number of times (weighted by $\frac{p(\omega)}{\text{Vol}(G)}$) any non-backtracking walk governed by τ crosses (v, v') on a forward step. To that end fix the ordered edge (v, v') and let $\delta_{v, v'}(\omega)$ the number of times the walk ω goes from v to v' on a forward step and let $\Omega_{\tau, 2k} = \bigcup_{v \in V} \bigcup_{v' \sim v} \Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}$. We note that by the stack based description we have that $\Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k} \cap \Omega_{v, v'', \tau, 2k} = \emptyset$ if $v' \neq v''$ as the initial stack differs even for the same walk in G . Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[S_{2k}^{(w)}] &\geq \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} \prod_{v \in V} \prod_{v' \sim v} \prod_{\omega \in \Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}} \left(\frac{w(\omega)}{p(\omega)} \right)^{\frac{p(\omega)}{\text{Vol}(G)}} \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} \prod_{v \in V} \prod_{v' \sim v} ((\deg(v) - 1) f(v)^2 f(v')^2)^{\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(G)} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{\tau, 2k}} p(\omega) \delta_{v, v'}(\omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are interested in

$$\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(G)} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{\tau, 2k}} p(\omega) \delta_{v, v'}(\omega),$$

for any ordered edge (v, v') and all τ and k . But this is just the expected number of times a random stack based walk crosses (v, v') on a forward step given an initial state chosen uniformly at random. It is easy to

see that uniform distribution on directed edges is stationary for the random stack based walk, and thus

$$\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(G)} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{\tau, 2k}} p(\omega) \delta_{v, v'}(\omega) = \frac{k}{\text{Vol}(G)}.$$

Futhermore, we have that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[S_{2k}^{(w)} \right] \geq \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} \prod_{v \in V(G)} \prod_{v' \sim v} (f(v)^2 f(v')^2 (\deg(v) - 1))^{\frac{k}{\text{Vol}(G)}} = C_k \prod_{v \in V(G)} ((\deg(v) - 1) f(v)^4)^{\frac{\deg(v)k}{\text{Vol}(G)}},$$

which proves the result as

$$\rho_w(\tilde{G}) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[2k]{\mathbb{E} \left[S_{2k}^{(w)} \right]} \geq 2 \prod_{v \in V(G)} \left(f(v)^2 \sqrt{\deg(v) - 1} \right)^{\frac{\deg(v)}{\text{Vol}(G)}}$$

□

Corollary 4. *For any graph G with minimum degree at least 2 with weight function $w(u, v) = (\deg(v) \deg(u))^{-1/2}$, the weighted spectral radius of the universal cover is at least $2\sqrt{\prod_{v \in V(G)} \left(\frac{\deg(v) - 1}{\deg(v)^2} \right)^{\frac{\deg(v)}{\text{Vol}(G)}}}$.*

Following the notation of Chung, Lu, and Vu [2] we denote the average degree of a graph by d and the second order average degree of a graph $G = (V, E)$ by $\tilde{d} = \frac{\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v)^2}{\text{Vol}(G)} = \frac{\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v)^2}{d|V|}$.

Using this notation, we can reformulate the bound in Corollary 4 into a more natural one in terms of global statistics of G . Specifically, since $(x - 1)^x$ is log-convex for $x \geq 2$, $\prod_{v \in V(G)} (\deg(v) - 1)^{\frac{\deg(v)}{\text{Vol}(G)}} \geq (d - 1)^{\frac{dn}{\text{Vol}(G)}} = d - 1$. Additionally, by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality,

$$\prod_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)^{\frac{\deg(v)}{\text{Vol}(G)}} \leq \frac{\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)^2}{\text{Vol}(G)} = \tilde{d}.$$

Hence we have if G is a graph with minimum degree at least 2, then $\rho_w(G) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d}$. Building on this observation we have the following natural extension.

Theorem 5. *If $G = (V, E)$ is a graph with average degree $d \geq 2$ and $w(u, v) = (\deg(u) \deg(v))^{-1/2}$, then $\rho_w(\tilde{G}) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d}$, where $\tilde{d} = \frac{\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v)^2}{\text{Vol}(G)}$ is the second order average degree.*

Proof. Since the average degree of G is at least 2 and removing a degree one vertex can only increase the average degree, G has a non-empty 2-core, G' . By adapting the proof of Theorem 3, we have that

$$\rho_w(\tilde{G}) \geq \rho_w(\tilde{G}') \geq 2 \sqrt{\prod_{v' \in V(G')} \left(\frac{\deg_{G'}(v') - 1}{\deg_G(v')^2} \right)^{\frac{\deg_{G'}(v')}{\text{Vol}(G')}}}.$$

Note that the first inequality comes from the limiting of the closed walks to those entirely within G' while preserving the weight of all those walks. Now since G' has minimum degree at least 2 by definition and deleting degree one vertices only increases the average degree,

$$\prod_{v' \in V(G')} (\deg_{G'}(v') - 1)^{\frac{\deg_{G'}(v')}{\text{Vol}(G')}} \geq d' - 1 \geq d - 1.$$

We observe that if $y \geq 2x$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then $\alpha^{\frac{x}{y}} \geq \alpha^{\frac{x+1}{y+2}}$. Thus by sequentially adding the vertices deleted to reach the 2-core, we have

$$\prod_{v' \in V(G')} \deg_G(v')^{-\frac{\deg_{G'}(v')}{\text{Vol}(G')}} = \prod_{v \in V(G)} \deg_G(v)^{-\frac{\deg_G(v)}{\text{Vol}(G')}} \geq \prod_{v \in V(G)} \deg_G(v)^{-\frac{\deg_G(v)}{\text{Vol}(G)}} \geq \frac{1}{\tilde{d}}.$$

Combining these observations gives the desired result. □

Let $B_r(v)$ be the set of vertices are distance at most r from v . If G is a connected graph, let f_P be the unit principle eigenvector of the normalized Laplacian. We will say that a graph has *normalized Laplacian eigenradius* r if for every vertex v , $\sum_{u \in B_r(v)} f_P(u)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and there is some vertex v' such that $\sum_{u \in B_{r+1}(v')} f_P(u)^2 > \frac{1}{2}$. Using this notation we have the following analogue of the Alon-Boppana result.

Theorem 6. *If $G = (V, E)$ is a connected graph with normalized Laplacian eigenradius at least $2k + 1 \geq 3$, average degree $d \geq 2$, and second order average degree $\tilde{d} = \frac{\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v)^2}{\text{Vol}(G)}$, then $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G) \leq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d} \left(1 - \frac{3 \ln(k+1)}{4k} (1 + o(1))\right)$.*

Proof. Let f_P be the principle unit eigenvector of \mathcal{L} , let $M = I - \mathcal{L}$, and let $w(u, v) = (\deg(u) \deg(v))^{-1/2}$. Now by Theorem 3,

$$\rho_w(\tilde{G}) \geq 2 \prod_{v \in V} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\deg(v) - 1}}{\deg(v)} \right)^{\frac{\deg(v)}{\text{Vol}(G)}}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 5,

$$\prod_{v \in V} \deg(v)^{\frac{\deg(v)}{\text{Vol}(G)}} \geq d - 1$$

and

$$\prod_{v \in V} \deg(v)^{\frac{\deg(v)}{\text{Vol}(G)}} \leq \sum_{v \in V} \frac{\deg(v)^2}{\text{Vol}(G)} = \sum_{v \in V} \frac{\deg(v)^2}{d|V|} = \tilde{d}.$$

Thus, there is some vertex $v \in V(G_x)$ such that $t_{2k}^{(w)}(v) \geq C_k \left(\frac{(d-1)^k}{\tilde{d}^{2k}} \right)$.

Let $R = V \setminus B_r(v)$, that is, the set of vertices of distance at least $r + 1$ from v . Let f_R be the projection of f_P onto the coordinates of R , we note that $\|f_R\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, by the definition of eigenradius. Letting $\mathbb{1}_v$ be the indicator vector for the vertex v , define $f = \|f_R\|_2 \mathbb{1}_v - \frac{f_P^T \mathbb{1}_v}{\|f_R\|_2} f_R$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f^T M^{2k} f}{\|f\|_2^2} &= \frac{\|f_R\|_2^2 \mathbb{1}_v^T M^{2k} \mathbb{1}_v - 2f_P^T \mathbb{1}_v \mathbb{1}_v M^{2k} f_R + \frac{(f_P^T \mathbb{1}_v)^2}{\|f_R\|_2^2} f_R^T M^{2k} f_R}{\|f_R\|_2^2 + (f_P^T \mathbb{1}_v)^2} \\ &= \frac{\|f_R\|_2^2 \mathbb{1}_v^T M^{2k} \mathbb{1}_v - 2f_P^T \mathbb{1}_v \sum_u f_R(u) \mathbb{1}_v^T M^{2k} \mathbb{1}_u + \frac{(f_P^T \mathbb{1}_v)^2}{\|f_R\|_2^2} f_R^T M^{2k} f_R}{\|f_R\|_2^2 + (f_P^T \mathbb{1}_v)^2} \\ &= \frac{\|f_R\|_2^2 \mathbb{1}_v^T M^{2k} \mathbb{1}_v + \frac{(f_P^T \mathbb{1}_v)^2}{\|f_R\|_2^2} f_R^T M^{2k} f_R}{\|f_R\|_2^2 + (f_P^T \mathbb{1}_v)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\|f_R\|_2^2 \mathbb{1}_v^T M^{2k} \mathbb{1}_v}{\|f_R\|_2^2 + (f_P^T \mathbb{1}_v)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_v^T M^{2k} \mathbb{1}_v \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} C_k \left(\frac{(d-1)^k}{\tilde{d}^{2k}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality comes from the fact that $\mathbb{1}_v^T M^{2k} \mathbb{1}_u = 0$ for all $u \in R$, the second inequality from the definition of eigenradius, and the final inequality by the choice of v . As a consequence the spectral norm of M is at least

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} C_k \right)^{\frac{1}{2k}} \frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{\tilde{d}} \geq 2 \frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{\tilde{d}} \left(1 - \frac{3 \ln(k+1)}{4k} (1 + o(1)) \right)$$

yielding the desired bound on $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G)$. □

It is worth noting that the $o(1)$ term can be bounded by $\frac{\ln(4\pi) + \frac{18k+1}{72k^2+3k}}{3 \ln(k+1)}$ and so is at most $\frac{3}{2}$ for all k . We note as well that this result can also be rephrased in the r -robust average degree framework of Hoory except that within that framework d is a lower bound on the r -robust average degree and \tilde{d} is an upper bound on the r -robust second order average degree. It is also worth noting that the sole contribution of the $\frac{1}{2}$ in the definition of normalized Laplacian eigenradius is the leading term in this inequality, and thus it can be replaced by any arbitrary constant ϵ . In fact, it suffices for ϵ to tend towards zero sufficiently slowly with respect to the normalized Laplacian eigenradius r , that is, it suffices for $\epsilon^{\frac{1}{r-1}} = \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2k}} \rightarrow 1$.

Corollary 7. *Let G_n be a sequence graphs with average degrees $d_n \geq 2$, second order average degrees \tilde{d}_n , and such that the maximum degrees Δ_n , satisfy that $\log(\Delta_n) \in o(\log(\text{Vol}(G_n)))$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d_n-1}}{d_n} = L$, then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G_n) = L$.*

Proof. We first observe that if the maximum degree of G_n is Δ_n , then for any vertex v , $|B_r(v)| \leq \Delta_n(\Delta_n - 1)^{r-1}$ and thus $\text{Vol}(B_r(v)) \leq \Delta_n^2(\Delta_n - 1)^{r-1}$. Thus, in order for $\text{Vol}(B_r(v)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(G_n)$, it must be the case that $r \geq 1 + \frac{-\ln(2\Delta_n^2) + \ln(\text{Vol}(G_n))}{\ln(\Delta_n - 1)}$. As $\ln(\Delta_n) \in o(\ln(\text{Vol}(G_n)))$, this implies that the normalized Laplacian eigenradius diverges to infinity with n which in combination with Theorem 6 gives the result. \square

We note that in general the natural conjectured bound on $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ extending Alon-Boppana result is $1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d}$ which is in general smaller than $1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d}$. In the following section, we show that this separation is essential by providing a class of graphs such that $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G) \geq 1 - 2\frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{d} + \epsilon$ for some fixed positive ϵ .

3. REGULAR GRAPHS ARE NOT EXTREMAL

We first observe that there is a trivial obstruction to regular graphs being extremal with respect to $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}$. Specifically, if G_n is a sequence of d -regular nearly Ramanujan n -vertex graph, then the graphs G'_n formed by adding a dominating vertex have average degree approaching $d+2$, while $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G'_n) = 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d+1} > 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d+1}}{d+2}$. However, all the graphs G'_n have diameter 2, in contrast to the proof of Nilli which uses the diameter to control the error term [12]. Thus, one might suppose that it suffices to impose a growing diameter condition to recover the natural generalization of Alon-Boppana. However, in this section we will provide a means of constructing an infinite family of graphs $\{G_i\}$, with common average degree d and common maximal degree (and hence increasing diameter), such that $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G) \geq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d} + \epsilon$ for some fixed $\epsilon > 0$. To that end, given graphs H_1 on n_1 vertices, H_2 on n_2 vertices, and B a bipartite graph on (n_1, n_2) vertices, we define $G(H_1, H_2, B)$, in the natural way, gluing the vertices of H_1 and H_2 to the appropriate side of the bipartition of B .

Lemma 8. *If H_1 is an n vertex d_1 -regular graph, H_2 is a rn vertex d_2 -regular graph, and B is a (n, rn) vertex (rk, k) -regular bipartite graph, then $G = G(H_1, H_2, B)$ is such that*

$$\max \left\{ \omega, \frac{\lambda(G_1)}{d_1 + rk}, \frac{\lambda(G_2)}{d_2 + k} \right\} \leq 1 - \lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G) \leq \max \{ \omega, \rho \}$$

where

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{1}{4}\lambda(B)^2 - \lambda(G_1)\lambda(G_2)}{\sqrt{(d_1+rk)(d_2+k)\lambda(B) - (d_2+k)\lambda(G_1) - (d_1+rk)\lambda(G_2)}} & \frac{\lambda(G_1)}{d_1+rk} + \frac{\lambda(G_2)}{d_2+k} < \frac{\lambda(B)}{\sqrt{(d_1+rk)(d_2+k)}} \\ \max \left\{ \frac{\lambda(G_1)}{d_1+rk}, \frac{\lambda(G_2)}{d_2+k} \right\} & \frac{\lambda(G_1)}{d_1+rk} + \frac{\lambda(G_2)}{d_2+k} \geq \frac{\lambda(B)}{\sqrt{(d_1+rk)(d_2+k)}} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\omega = \frac{1}{d} \frac{r}{r+1} \left(\frac{(d_2+k)d_1}{d_1+rk} - 2k + \frac{(d_1+rk)d_2}{(d_2+k)r^2} \right).$$

Proof. Rather than dealing directly with the normalized Laplacian, $\mathcal{L} = I - D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$, we will again deal with the matrix $M = D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$. Now the largest eigenvalue of M has value one (corresponding to the zero eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}) and has eigenvector $D^{1/2}\mathbb{1}$. For convenience of notation, let $\mathbb{1}_t$ be an appropriately sized vector whose first t entries are 1 and remaining entries are zero, and similarly let $\mathbb{1}'_s$ be an appropriately sized vector whose last s entries are one and the remaining entries are zero. We fix an ordering of vertices of

G so that the n side of the bipartition appears first and thus the primary eigenvector of M is $\sqrt{d_1 + rk}\mathbb{1}_n + \sqrt{d_2 + k}\mathbb{1}'_{rn}$.

Let σ be the unit vector

$$\sqrt{\frac{(d_2 + k)r}{\text{Vol}(G)}}\mathbb{1}_n - \sqrt{\frac{d_1 + rk}{r \text{Vol}(G)}}\mathbb{1}'_{rn}.$$

Now any unit vector v orthogonal to the first eigenspace of M , can be written in the form $\alpha f + \beta g + \gamma \sigma$ where $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$, $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$, $f^T \sigma = g^T \sigma = f^T g = 0$, and f is only non-zero on the first n entries and g is only non-zero on the last rn entries. Thus to understand $v^T M v$ it suffices to understand $f^T M f$, $g^T M g$, $f^T M g$, $f^T M \sigma$, $g^T M \sigma$, and $\sigma^T M \sigma$. The lower bound comes immediately from considering $f^T M f$, $g^T M g$, and $\sigma^T M \sigma$ and the value of $\sigma^T M \sigma$ which we calculate later.

It is easy to see that $f^T M f \leq \frac{\lambda(H_1)}{d_1 + rk}$ and $g^T M g \leq \frac{\lambda(H_2)}{d_2 + k}$. Noting that $M \sigma = \eta \mathbb{1}_n + \zeta \mathbb{1}'_{rn}$ for some η and ζ , it is clear that $f^T M \sigma = g^T M \sigma = 0$. Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \frac{d_1}{d_1 + rk} \sqrt{\frac{(d_2 + k)r}{\text{Vol}(G)}} - \frac{rk}{\sqrt{(d_1 + rk)(d_2 + k)}} \sqrt{\frac{d_1 + rk}{r \text{Vol}(G)}} \\ \zeta &= \frac{k}{\sqrt{(d_1 + rk)(d_2 + k)}} \sqrt{\frac{(d_2 + k)r}{\text{Vol}(G)}} - \frac{d_2}{d_2 + k} \sqrt{\frac{d_1 + rk}{r \text{Vol}(G)}}, \end{aligned}$$

we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^T M \sigma &= \eta n \sqrt{\frac{(d_2 + k)r}{\text{Vol}(G)}} - \zeta r n \sqrt{\frac{d_1 + rk}{r \text{Vol}(G)}} \\ &= \frac{d_2 + k}{d_1 + rk} \frac{d_1 r n}{\text{Vol}(G)} - \frac{k r n}{\text{Vol}(G)} - \frac{k r n}{\text{Vol}(G)} + \frac{d_1 + rk}{d_2 + k} \frac{d_2 n}{r \text{Vol}(G)} \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \frac{r}{r + 1} \left(\frac{(d_2 + k)d_1}{d_1 + rk} - 2k + \frac{(d_1 + rk)d_2}{(d_2 + k)r^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We now consider $f^T M g$. If we let u be the concatenation of the vectors f and g , then $f^T M g + g^T M f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d_1 + rk)(d_2 + k)}} u^T A_B u$, where A_B is the adjacency matrix for the graph B . Furthermore, the vector u formed in this manner spans a $(r + 1)n - 2$ dimensional subspace of vectors and the orthogonal complement is spanned by $\sqrt{rk}\mathbb{1}_n + \sqrt{k}\mathbb{1}'_{rn}$ and $\sqrt{rk}\mathbb{1}_n - \sqrt{k}\mathbb{1}'_{rn}$. But since $\sqrt{rk}\mathbb{1}_n + \sqrt{k}\mathbb{1}'_{rn}$ is the principle eigenvector for A_B and $(\sqrt{rk}\mathbb{1}_n - \sqrt{k}\mathbb{1}'_{rn})^T A_B (\sqrt{rk}\mathbb{1}_n - \sqrt{k}\mathbb{1}'_{rn}) = -2\sqrt{kr}kn < 0$, $u^T A_B u \leq \lambda(B)$. Thus $f^T M g \leq \frac{\lambda(B)}{2\sqrt{(d_1 + rk)(d_2 + k)}}$.

Now since $f^T M \sigma = g^T M \sigma$, the second largest eigenvalue of M occurs either when $\gamma^2 = 0$ or when $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 0$. Thus, optimizing for choice of α when $\gamma = 0$, gives the result. \square

Corollary 9. *If H_1 is an n vertex d_1 -regular Ramanujan graph, H_2 is a rn vertex d_2 -regular Ramanujan graph, B is a (n, rn) vertex (rk, k) -regular bipartite Ramanujan graph, $3d_2 > k + 4$, and r is sufficiently large, then $G = G(H_1, H_2, B)$ is such that $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G) = 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d_2 - 1}}{d_2 + k}$.*

Proof. For large enough r , $\omega < 0$. Additionally, $\frac{2\sqrt{d_1 - 1}}{d_1 + rk} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\sqrt{k - 1} + \sqrt{rk - 1}}{\sqrt{(d_1 + rk)(d_2 + k)}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_2 + k}}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Now since $3d_2 > k + 4$, it follows that $\frac{2\sqrt{d_2 - 1}}{d_2 + k} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_2 + k}}$ and by Lemma 8 the result follows. \square

In fact, it suffices that graphs H_1 , H_2 , and B be nearly Ramanujan graphs. That is, it suffices for H_1 , H_2 , and B to be such that $\lambda(H_1) \leq 2\sqrt{d_1 - 1} + o(1)$, $\lambda(H_2) \leq 2\sqrt{d_2 - 1} + o(1)$, and $\lambda(B) \leq \sqrt{k - 1} + \sqrt{rk - 1} + o(1)$ where the $o(1)$ is in terms of n .

Theorem 10. *For any fixed choice of integers $d_1 \geq 3, d_2 \geq 8$, there is an infinite family of graphs $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ with common average degree d , such that $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G_i) \geq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d - 1}}{d} + \epsilon$ for some fixed $\epsilon > 0$.*

Proof. First we observe that since $\frac{(d_2+3)d_1}{d_1+3r} + \frac{(d_1+3r)d_2}{(d_2+3)r^2} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and there is a choice of r so that $\frac{(d_2+3)d_1}{d_1+3r} + \frac{(d_1+3r)d_2}{(d_2+3)r^2} < 6$ and $d_1 < (r+1)(d_2+6)$. Now let $\{H_n\}$ be a sequence of d_1 -regular nearly Ramanujan graphs on n vertices, and let $\{\hat{H}_n\}$ be a sequence of d_2 -regular nearly Ramanujan graphs on rn vertices, and let $\{B_n\}$ be a sequence of random $(3r, 3)$ -regular bipartite graphs on (n, rn) vertices. We note that by the work of Friedman [5], the classes $\{H_n\}$ and $\{\hat{H}_n\}$ exist. Define $G_n = G(H_n, \hat{H}_n, B_n)$. Now the average degree for each G_n is $\frac{r}{r+1}(d_2+6) + \frac{d_1}{r+1} < d_2+6$ by the choice of r . Furthermore, the choice of r and the observation that $\frac{2\sqrt{d_2-1}}{d_2+3} > \frac{3\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{(d_1+3r)(d_2+3)}}$, together with Corollary 9, gives that $1 - \lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G_n) = \frac{2\sqrt{d_2-1} + \alpha(1)}{d_2+3}$. Since $\frac{2\sqrt{x-1}}{x}$ is a decreasing function for $x \geq 2$ and $d \leq d_2+6$, it suffices to show that there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{2\sqrt{d_2-1}}{d_2+3} < \frac{2\sqrt{d_2+5}}{d_2+6}$. Rearranging, this is equivalent to $\frac{d_2+6}{d_2+3} < \sqrt{\frac{d_2+5}{d_2-1}}$. Since both sides are positive, it suffices to show that $1 + \frac{6}{d_2+3} + \frac{9}{(d_2+3)^2} < 1 + \frac{6}{d_2-1}$. Alternatively we may show that $6d_2^2 + 21d_2 - 27 = (6(d_2+3) + 9)(d_2-1) < 6(d_2+3)^2 = 6d_2^2 + 36d_2 + 54$, which clearly holds. Thus there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for a sufficiently large n , $\lambda^{\mathcal{L}}(G_n) \geq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d_2-1}}{d_2+3} + \epsilon$. \square

It is worth noting that this construction could be extended to larger class of degrees if a larger class of degree if the existence of a larger class of nearly Ramanujan biregular bipartite graphs were known. Although it is clear that by subdivision any k -regular nearly Ramanujan graph gives rise to a $(2, k)$ -regular nearly Ramanujan bipartite graph [6] and Li and Solé have provided a construction of a limited class of biregular bipartite graphs based generalized n -gons [9], neither of these constructions yields a sufficient diversity of bipartite near Ramanujan graphs to meaningfully expand the range of degrees chosen. Since the submission of this work, Marcus, Spielman, and Srivastava have provided a construction of (c, d) -biregular bipartite Ramanujan graphs for all $c, d \geq 3$ via 2-lifts of the complete bipartite graph [?].

4. SPECTRAL BOUNDS FOR THE NORMALIZED LAPLACIAN SPECTRAL OF BIPARTITE GRAPHS

In order to deal with bipartite graphs, we need the following result which appears in [7]. First, let T_{2k} be the collection of length $2k$ Dyck paths and for $\tau \in T_{2k}$ let $\text{odd}(\tau)$ be the number of positive steps on τ starting from an odd height. Similarly, define $\text{even}(\tau)$ and note that $\text{odd}(\tau) + \text{even}(\tau) = k$.

Lemma 11. *For any positive constants $a, b > 0$,*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} a^{\text{even}(\tau)} b^{\text{odd}(\tau)} = \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}.$$

For the sake of completeness we provide this alternative proof.

Proof. Let $C_k^{(a,b)} = \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} a^{\text{even}(\tau)} b^{\text{odd}(\tau)}$ and let $C(a, b, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k^{(a,b)} x^k$. Making the standard observation that for any Dyck path of length $2k$ which first returns to height 0 at step $2t$, the subpath from step 1 to step $2t-1$ is also a Dyck path, we have that $C_{k+1}^{(a,b)} = \sum_{i=0}^k a C_i^{(b,a)} C_{k-i}^{(a,b)}$, where the interchange in (a, b) occurs because the sub-Dyck path starts at an odd value. Thus we have that $C(a, b, x) = 1 + ax C(b, a, x) C(a, b, x)$ and $C(b, a, x) = 1 + bx C(a, b, x) C(b, a, x)$. Letting $C^*(\{a, b\}, x) = C(a, b, x) C(b, a, x)$ and combining these relationships we get $C^* = 1 + (a+b)x C^* + abx^2 C^{*2}$. Thus

$$C^*(\{a, b\}, x) = \frac{1 - (a+b)x - \sqrt{(1 - (a+b)x)^2 - 4abx^2}}{2abx^2},$$

where the negative square root is chosen to eliminate the pole at $x = 0$. Thus

$$C(a, b, x) = 1 + ax \frac{1 - (a+b)x - \sqrt{(1 - (a+b)x)^2 - 4abx^2}}{2abx^2} = \frac{1 + (b-a)x - \sqrt{1 - 2(a+b)x + (b-a)^2 x^2}}{2bx}.$$

Note that this has poles at $x = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2}$ and $x = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2}$ (if $a \neq b$), and thus $C_k^{(a,b)} \sim \left(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}\right)^{2k}$ as desired. \square

With this lemma in hand, we now have the following normalized Laplacian analogue of Hoory's bound on the spectral radii of the universal cover of irregular bipartite graphs [7].

Theorem 12. For any bipartite graph $B = (L, R, E)$ with minimum degree at least 2 and weight function $w(u, v) = f(u)f(v)$, the weighted spectral radius of the universal cover is at least

$$\left(\sqrt{d_L - 1} + \sqrt{d_R - 1}\right) \sqrt{\prod_{v \in L \cup R} f(v)^{\frac{2 \deg(v)}{|E|}}},$$

where d_L and d_R are the average degrees of the L and R sides of the partition, respectively.

Proof. We will consider the same class of walks as in the proof of Theorem 3, except the starting vertex will be restricted to vertices in L . Specifically, using the same notation, we have

$$\rho_w(\tilde{B}) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[2k]{\sum_{v \in L} \frac{\deg(v)}{|E|} \sum_{v' \sim v} \frac{1}{\deg(v)} \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} w(\Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k})} = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[2k]{\frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{v \in L} \sum_{v' \sim v} \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} w(\Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k})}.$$

Thus we consider

$$\sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} \sum_{v \in L} \sum_{v' \sim v} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}} \frac{w(\omega)}{|E|} \frac{p(\omega)}{p(\omega)} \geq \sum_{\tau \in T_{2k}} \prod_{v \in L} \prod_{v' \sim v} \prod_{\omega \in \Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}} \left(\frac{w(\omega)}{p(\omega)}\right)^{\frac{p(\omega)}{|E|}}.$$

Now since $\frac{w(\omega)}{p(\omega)} = \prod_{i=1}^k (\deg(v_i) - 1) f(v_i)^2 f(u_i)^2$ it suffices to understand for any edge how many times the ordered edge (v, v') is crossed in a non-backtracking walk by a forward step (weighted by $\frac{p(\omega)}{|E|}$). That is, we are interested in

$$\sum_{u \in L} \sum_{u' \sim u} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{u, u', \tau, 2k}} \delta_{v, v'}(\omega).$$

If $v \in L$, this is $\text{even}(\tau)$ while if $v \in R$, this is $\text{odd}(\tau)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in L} \sum_{v' \sim v} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{v, v', \tau, 2k}} \frac{w(\omega)}{|E|} \frac{p(\omega)}{p(\omega)} &= \prod_{v \in L} (\deg(v) - 1)^{\frac{\deg(v) \text{even}(\tau)}{|E|}} \prod_{v \in R} (\deg(v) - 1)^{\frac{\deg(v) \text{odd}(\tau)}{|E|}} \prod_{v \in L \cup R} f(v)^{\frac{4 \deg(v) k}{|E|}} \\ &\geq (d_L - 1)^{\text{odd}(\tau)} (d_R - 1)^{\text{even}(\tau)} \prod_{v \in L \cup R} f(v)^{\frac{2 \deg(v) (\text{even}(\tau) + \text{odd}(\tau))}{|E|}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\rho_w(\tilde{B}) \geq (\sqrt{d_L - 1} + \sqrt{d_R - 1}) \sqrt{\prod_{v \in L \cup R} f(v)^{\frac{2 \deg(v)}{|E|}}}$. \square

Applying the weighting from the normalized Laplacian, we have the following result.

Corollary 13. For any bipartite graph $B = (L, R, E)$ with minimum degree at least 2 and weight function $w(u, v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\deg(v) \deg(u)}}$, the weighted spectral radius of the universal cover is at least

$$\left(\sqrt{d_L - 1} + \sqrt{d_R - 1}\right) \left(\tilde{d}_L \tilde{d}_R\right)^{-1/2},$$

where d_L and d_R are the average degrees of the sides and $\tilde{d}_L = \frac{\sum_{v \in L} \deg(v)^2}{\sum_{v \in L} \deg(v)}$ and $\tilde{d}_R = \frac{\sum_{v \in R} \deg(v)^2}{\sum_{v \in R} \deg(v)}$ are the second order average degrees of the sides.

It is worth noting that the term $\left(\tilde{d}_L \tilde{d}_R\right)^{-1/2}$ can be replaced by $\left(\hat{d}_L \hat{d}_R\right)^{-1/2}$ where \hat{d}_L and \hat{d}_R are the averages of the $3/2$ powers of the degrees in L and R , respectively. It is also worth noting that unlike Theorem 3, this theorem can not be extended to the case where the average degree is at least 2, as the average degree on each side of the partition could be decreased by deleting a vertex of degree 1.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to acknowledge the many helpful discussions with Fan Chung, in particular, her help in improving the statement of Theorem 6. The author would also like to acknowledge the comments of an anonymous referee whose helpful comments improved the exposition of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. ALON, *Eigenvalues and expanders*, *Combinatorica*, 6 (1986), pp. 83–96. *Theory of computing* (Singer Island, Fla., 1984).
- [2] FAN CHUNG, LINYUAN LU, AND VAN VU, *The spectra of random graphs with given expected degrees*, *Internet Math.*, 1 (2004), pp. 257–275.
- [3] FAN R. K. CHUNG, *Spectral graph theory*, vol. 92 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [4] JOEL FRIEDMAN, *On the second eigenvalue and random walks in random d -regular graphs*, *Combinatorica*, 11 (1991), pp. 331–362.
- [5] ———, *A proof of Alon’s second eigenvalue conjecture and related problems*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 195 (2008), pp. viii+100.
- [6] KI-ICHIRO HASHIMOTO, *Zeta functions of finite graphs and representations of p -adic groups*, in *Automorphic forms and geometry of arithmetic varieties*, vol. 15 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989, pp. 211–280.
- [7] SHLOMO HOORY, *A lower bound on the spectral radius of the universal cover of a graph*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 93 (2005), pp. 33–43.
- [8] SHLOMO HOORY, NATHAN LINIAL, AND AVI WIGDERSON, *Expander graphs and their applications*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 43 (2006), pp. 439–561 (electronic).
- [9] WEN-CH’ING WINNIE LI AND PATRICK SOLÉ, *Spectra of regular graphs and hypergraphs and orthogonal polynomials*, *European J. Combin.*, 17 (1996), pp. 461–477.
- [10] BOJAN MOHAR, *A strengthening and a multipartite generalization of the Alon-Boppana-Serre theorem*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138 (2010), pp. 3899–3909.
- [11] BOJAN MOHAR AND WOLFGANG WOESS, *A survey on spectra of infinite graphs*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 21 (1989), pp. 209–234.
- [12] A. NILLI, *On the second eigenvalue of a graph*, *Discrete Math.*, 91 (1991), pp. 207–210.